1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA NICOLAS HAMMAN, 10 11 Plaintiff, No. CIV S-05-0116 DFL KJM P 12 VS. 13 OFFICER CALLAHAN, et al., 14 Defendants. FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS 15 16 By an order filed May 20, 2005, this court ordered plaintiff to complete and return 17 to the court, within thirty days, the USM-285 forms necessary to effect service on defendants. 18 That thirty day period has since passed, and plaintiff has not responded in any way to the court's 19 order. IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without 20 21 prejudice. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). 22 These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States 23 District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within 24 twenty days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file 25 written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified 26

Case 2:05-cv-00116-DFL-KJM Document 12 Filed 07/07/05 Page 2 of 2

1	time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153
2	(9th Cir. 1991).
3	DATED: July 6, 2005.
4	MA mulle
5	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	
7	· ·
8	/kf
9	hamm0116.fusm
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	